

The Development of Physical Geodesy during 1984-2014 - A personal view



ROYAL INSTITUTE
OF TECHNOLOGY

Lars E. Sjöberg

E-mail: lsjo@kth.se

Royal Institute of Technology
Division of Geodesy and Geoinformatics
SE-10044 Stockholm
Sweden

**NKG General Meeting, Gothenburg
1-4 September 2014**

CONTENTS



ROYAL INSTITUTE
OF TECHNOLOGY

- **My background experiences (before 1984)**
- **Development of data**
- **Development of theory**
- **NKG geoid models**
- **Gravity inversion**
- **Conclusions with some remaining problems**

My background experiences



ROYAL INSTITUTE
OF TECHNOLOGY

- **Ph.D. training 1971-1975**
 - "The three Swedish kings of Geodesy" (L. Asp-lund, A. Bjerhammar and E. Tengström)
 - Bjerhammar's book "Theory of Errors and Generalized Matrix Inverses", 1973
 - Molodensky's new theory on physical geodesy:
 - a) surface gravity anomaly b) The quasigeoid
 - T. Krarup's (1969) foundations on ph. geodesy

My background experiences (cont.)



ROYAL INSTITUTE
OF TECHNOLOGY

- **With R. H. Rapp at OSU 1977-1978 (15 months):**
 - OSU EGMs; satellite altimetry; collocation, etc.
 - 5 personal OSU reports
- **H. Moritz (1980): Advanced Physical Geodesy**
- **The Int. Symposium on Satellite Geodesy, Cannes, 1980: "Spectral combination"**
- **Spring 1983: AvH-Fellow, Stuttgart (VC component estimation)**

1984-2014

SOME EVENTS:

- 1982-2002: presidium member of NKG
- GPS is realized: 1) calls for accurate geoid models;
2) GPS/levelling
- Goals for geoid accuracy:
1970: 1-2 m; 1980: 2-5 dm; 2000: 1 cm; 2020: 5 mm?



ROYAL INSTITUTE
OF TECHNOLOGY

1984-2014 (cont.)

DATA DEVELOPMENT

- **Earth Gravitational Model developments:**

N_{\max} 36 -180 - 360 - 720 - 1800 -- 2160

Models: OSU- EGM96 -- Wenzel -EGM2008



ROYAL INSTITUTE
OF TECHNOLOGY

- **Satellite altimetry**
- From 2000: **dedicated satellite gravity missions** (CHAMP, GRACE, GOCE)
- **Airborne gravimetry**
- **Height data:** regional – global data sets, e.g. Space shuttle experiment, DTM2006, etc, ...

Theoretical developments

- **Combinations of EGM + Stokes**
(long+ short wavelengths)
- **Collocation** (+generalized interpolation; - covariances; - huge systems)
- **RCR techniques** (+works with residual gravity anomalies)
- **Modified Stokes' methods**
- **1984: Least Squares Modification of Stokes' Formula** (first two reports) (+simplified, rigorous corrections)



ROYAL INSTITUTE
OF TECHNOLOGY

The RCR - technique

$$\hat{N} = \frac{R}{4\pi\gamma} \iint_{\sigma_0} S^L(\psi) \Delta \tilde{g}^M d\sigma + \frac{R}{2\gamma} \sum_{n=2}^M \frac{2}{n-1} \Delta \tilde{g}_n^{\text{EGM}} + \delta N_{\text{dir},M}^T + \delta N_I + \delta N_I^a + \delta N_I^e$$



ROYAL INSTITUTE
OF TECHNOLOGY

$$\Delta \tilde{g}^M = \Delta \tilde{g} - \sum_{n=2}^M \Delta \tilde{g}_n^{\text{EGM}} + \delta \Delta g_{\text{dir}}^T + \delta \Delta g_{\text{dwc}} + \delta \Delta g_{\text{dir}}^a + \delta \Delta g_{\text{dir}}^e$$

- The IAG Geoid School practices (only) this method; in the past with $L=0$, and some corrections are ignored or simplified
- The modification by Vanicek-Kleusberg uses $L = M$ and Molodensky type of modification parameters (i.e. minimum truncation error bound)

Least Squares Modification with Additive Corrections (LSMSA)



ROYAL INSTITUTE
OF TECHNOLOGY

- Uses the rigorous rcr formula above, but direct and indirect effects are combined into additive corrections (Sjöberg 2003a).

$$\hat{N} = \frac{R}{4\pi\gamma} \iint_{\sigma_0} S^L(\psi) \Delta\tilde{g} d\sigma + \frac{R}{2\gamma} \sum_{n=2}^M (Q_n^L + s_n^*) \Delta\tilde{g}_n^{EGM}$$
$$+ \delta N_{comb}^T + \delta N_{dwc} + \delta N_{atm} + \delta N_{ell}$$

NKG GEOID MODELS

- The NKG wg on geoid determination was established 1978:



ROYAL INSTITUTE
OF TECHNOLOGY

- | | | |
|-----------------------|--------------------|--------------|
| • NKG1986 | Collocation | 0.5 m |
| • NKG1990 | FFT | |
| • NKG1996 | RCR, FFT | 10 cm |
| • NKG2002-2004 | -"- | |
| • NKG2014? | ? | 1 cm? |

The Geoid – Quasigeoid Separation

- H/M (1967):

$$N - \zeta \approx \frac{\Delta g_P^B}{\bar{\gamma}} H$$

- Fleury/Rummel (2009):

$$N - \zeta \approx \frac{\Delta g_P^B}{\bar{\gamma}} H + \frac{V_g^T}{\gamma_0} - \frac{V_P^T}{\gamma_Q}$$

- Sjöberg (2010, 2012) [arbitrary compensation model]:

$$N - \zeta = \int_0^{H_P} \frac{\Delta g}{\gamma} dh = \frac{\Delta g_P^c}{\bar{\gamma}} H + \frac{dV_g^c}{\gamma_0} - \frac{dV_P^c}{\gamma_Q} + \text{res}$$

$$\text{res} = \int_0^{H_P} \frac{\Delta g^c}{\gamma} dh - \frac{\Delta g_P^c}{\bar{\gamma}}$$



ROYAL INSTITUTE
OF TECHNOLOGY

$W_0 =$ the geoid potential

- Data: 1) Satellite altimetry (only on oceans)
2) EGM (T_0 is missing or chosen \Rightarrow only relative geoid heights)
- Methods:
 - direct integration over the oceans.
 - geometric methods for ref. ellipsoidal parameters a and $b \Rightarrow U_0 = W_0$.
- Sjöberg (2013) a , b and W_0 jointly



ROYAL INSTITUTE
OF TECHNOLOGY

The SITE =

“the secondary indirect topographic effect”

- The boundary condition:

$$\Delta g \approx -\frac{\partial T}{\partial r} - 2\frac{T}{r}$$



ROYAL INSTITUTE
OF TECHNOLOGY

- The topographic signal:

$$\Delta g^T \approx -\frac{\partial V^T}{\partial r} - 2\frac{V^T}{r}$$

-

DITE SITE

THE SITE

- Δg^B reduces only for DITE and not for the SITE

Needs for new/rigorous gravity anomalies:



ROYAL INSTITUTE
OF TECHNOLOGY

- No-topography gravity anomaly

$$\Delta g^{NT} = \Delta g^B + 2 \frac{V^T}{r}$$

- Rigorous isostatic gravity anomaly

$$\Delta g^{I,new} = \Delta g^I + \frac{2(V^T - V_C)}{r}$$

Gravity Inversion

- Bouguer vs. no-topography gravity anomaly:
B. is not a rigorous anomaly - NT. is
- The Bouguer anomaly is not consistent with the Bouguer gravity disturbance
- Traditional vs. rigorous isostatic gravity anomalies
- Vening Meinesz- Moritz (1990) isostatic model
- Gravimetric/Isostatic Moho determination vs. CRUST2/1 seismic models



ROYAL INSTITUTE
OF TECHNOLOGY

Conclusions

- 1984-2014 saw very exciting developments in physical geodesy:
- GPS stimulates improved modelling of the (quasi)geoid (technical needs)
- Geophysical science pushes satellite gravity missions (science needs)
- Ultra-high-degree EGMs
- EGM+ Stokes towards 5 mm geoids?
- Rigorous gravity anomalies
- Gravity inversion, e.g. VMM Moho models



ROYAL INSTITUTE
OF TECHNOLOGY

SOME REMAINING PROBLEMS



ROYAL INSTITUTE
OF TECHNOLOGY

- **The SST bias in satellite altimetry?**
- **The geoid vs. the quasigeoid?**
- **WO is still to be improved**
- **Future GNSS/levelling without height fixes?**
- **The bad economy in physical geodesy training and research**



**ROYAL INSTITUTE
OF TECHNOLOGY**

THANK YOU FOR YOUR ATTENTION!